Interview Summary	10/568,584	SENS ET AL.	•
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BOBBY RAMDHANIE	1797	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Ramdhanie, Bobby</u> .	(3)		•
(2) <u>Schibli, Ph.D.; Nikolaus.</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 39.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed Claim 39 and willing to reconsider the prior art rejections upon submission</u>	how to further define Applicar	if an agreement ats' invention. Ex	was a <u>miner is</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would rende vould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
			·

Application No.

Applicant(s)